arXiv:hep-th/0103261v1 30 Mar 2001

KCL-MTH-01-06

On the Spectrum of a Noncommutative Formulation of the D=11 Supermembrane with Winding.

M.P. García del Moral[a]† and A. Restuccia †‡

†Phys. Dept. Universidad S. Bolívar, Caracas.

‡Dept. of Mathematics King's College, London.

*

30 March 2001

Abstract

A regularized model of the double compactified D=11 supermembrane with nontrivial winding in terms of SU(N) valued maps is obtained. The condition of nontrivial winding is described in terms of a nontrivial line bundle introduced in the formulation of the compactified supermembrane. The multivalued geometrical objects of the model related to the nontrivial wrapping are described in terms of a SU(N) geometrical object which in the $N \to \infty$ limit, converges to the symplectic connection related to the area preserving diffeomorphisms of the recently obtained non-commutative description of the compactified D=11 supermembrane [1],[2].

The SU(N) regularized canonical lagrangian is explicitly obtained. In the $N \to \infty$ limit it converges to the lagrangian in [1][2] subject to the nontrivial winding condition. The spectrum of the hamiltonian of the double compactified D=11 supermembrane is discussed. Generically, it contains local string like spikes with zero energy. However the sector of the theory corresponding to a principle bundle characterized by the winding number $n \neq 0$, described by the SU(N) model we propose, is shown to have no local string-like spikes and hence the spectrum of this sector should be discrete.

Keywords: supermembrane, matrix models, SU(N) regularization, noncommutative geometry

* *e-mail: mgarcia@fis.usb.ve, arestu@usb.ve*

1 Introduction

The matrix model for bosonic membranes was first introduced in [3], and its study was extended to the supersymmetric case in [4]. In that work, it was shown that the supermembrane theory could also be understood as a supersymmetric gauge theory of the infinite group of area preserving diffeomorphisms which appeared as a residual symmetry of the supermembrane in the light cone gauge. They also found a satisfactory SU(N) regularization of the model. The spectrum of the quantized model was found to be continuous [5], and was afterwards interpreted in terms of a multiparticle theory [6].

The D=11 supermembrane with winding was first analyzed in [7] in terms of multivalued maps from the world volume to the target space. Part of their study was based on a previous work on the area preserving diffeomorphisms in [8]. In [7] the hamiltonian of the theory was explicitly obtained. Its analysis in terms of a finite N regularization was performed, with the conclusion that the SU(N) regularization of the model, which was essential in the analysis of the spectrum of the non-compactified supermembrane in a D=11 Minkowski target space [5], was not possible because the structure constants associated to the presence of the non-exact modes did not fit in an SU(N) description of the model. It was also argued in [7] that the spectrum of the compactified D=11 supermembrane should also be continuous since the instability, caused by the string-like spikes, is also present in the compactified case. The string-like spikes are singular physical configurations (the determinant of the induced metric is zero at some points or open sets of the worldvolume) which may even change the topology of the world volume without changing the energy of the system. Together with the supersymmetry they render the spectrum of the D=11 supermembrane continuous from zero to infinity. A complete analysis of the spectrum for the compactified case similar to the one in [5], for the non compactified case, has not been yet presented.

The analysis of the compactified D-brane was first approached from the matrix model point of view in [9]. The matrix models [10], [11] describe the dynamics of the membranes in the Light Cone Gauge in the approximation of finite number of oscillations modes. They provide an equivalent description, to the one in [7] of the supermembrane in terms of D0-branes. The formulation of compactified D-branes in [9] was done by considering the universal covering of the compactified target space. In that simply connected space the matrix model may be directly formulated in terms of the infinite set of the copies of the D-brane system restricted by the symmetry generated by

the covering group. An interesting result was obtained in [12]. It was shown that the matrix model on a noncommutative torus is equivalent to M-theory compactified in a constant antisymmetric background field. The non commutative geometry of the supermembrane in terms of matrix models was also described in several papers, see [13] and references therein, in particular in [14] and [15]. In [16], [17] the analysis of the compactified D=11 supermembrane was performed following the original description [4] but the analysis emphasizes the global structure associated to the non trivial wrapping of the supermembrane in terms of an associated principal bundle which is naturally constructed from the non-trivial central charge of the supersymmetric algebra. This analysis is best performed in the dual formulation of the theory. The double compactified D=11 supermembrane dual directly introduces the connection 1-form associated to the nontrivial principal bundle. In the formulation in [1],[2] the canonical lagrangian is expressed as a noncommutative gauge theory. The geometrical meaning of the non commutativity was explained in that work in terms of symplectic fibrations over the world volume. The symplectomorphisms on the fibers are generated by the area preserving diffeomorphisms on the world volume.

In this paper we present a SU(N) regularization of that formulation. All the multivalued objects related to the non trivial wrapping are handled by the connections 1-form. If the theory is restricted to a principle bundle characterized by the winding number n , any connection on that bundle may be expressed in terms of a fix one $\widehat{\Pi}$ plus a uniform 1-form $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \widehat{\Pi} + \mathcal{A} \tag{1}$$

We consider $\widehat{\Pi}$ to be the connection 1-form which minimizes the Hamiltonian of the double compactified Supermembrane. Although $\mathcal{A}$ is a uniform 1-form it has a transformation law, under the gauge symmetry of the theory,

$$\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} + d\epsilon + \{\mathcal{A}, \epsilon\} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}\epsilon \tag{2}$$

corresponding to a symplectic connection preserving the symplectic structure of the fibers under holonomies. Its regularization in terms of SU(N) valued objects has consequently a very different behaviour compared to the geometrical objects in the other approaches.

2 The Hamiltonian of the compactified D=11 Supermembrane.

In this section we describe the Hamiltonian of the compactified D=11 supermembrane on $(R^9 \times S^1 \times S^1)$. It seems that the best approach, from a global point of view, is to consider its dual formulation since as discussed previously the global features are geometrically handled in terms of a connection 1-form over a non-trivial principle bundle on the world volume which is intrinsically introduced in the formulation.

The Hamiltonian for the double compactified D=11 supermembrane was obtained in [1],[2] starting from the lagrangian formulation of the D=11 Supermembrane. It was important to follow step by step the dualization procedure in order to show that the non-trivial winding of the supermembrane was indeed described by the nontrivial bundle over which the gauge field, dual to the compactified coordinates, is defined. Having that geometrical structure one may introduce in an intrinsic way a symplectic structure on the world volume. One finally may formulate the double compactified D=11 supermembrane as a symplectic noncommutative gauge theory [1], [2]. The final form of the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{2\sqrt{W}}[(P^m)^2 + (\Pi_r)^2 + 1/2W\{X^m, X^n\}^2 + W(\mathcal{D}_r X^m)^2 + 1/2W(\mathcal{F}_{rs})^2] + \int_\Sigma [1/8\sqrt{W}n^2 - \Lambda(\mathcal{D}_r\Pi^r + \{X^m, P_m\})] - \frac{1}{4}\int_\Sigma \sqrt{W}n^*\mathcal{F} \tag{3}$$

together with its supersymmetric extension

$$\int_\Sigma \sqrt{W}[-\overline{\theta}\Gamma_-\Gamma_r\mathcal{D}_r\theta + \overline{\theta}\Gamma_-\Gamma_m\{X^m,\theta\} + \Lambda\{\overline{\theta}\Gamma_-,\theta\}] \tag{4}$$

in terms of the original Majorana spinors of the D=11 formulation, which may be decomposed in terms of a complex 8-component spinor of $SO(7) \times U(1)$.

$m = 1, ...7$ are the indexes denoting the scalar fields once the supermembrane is formulated in the light cone gauge.

$r, s = 1, 2$ are the indexes related to the two compactified directions of the target space. Where Σ is the spatial part of the world volume which is assumed to be closed Riemann surface of topology g.

P_M and Π_r are the conjugate momenta to X^M and the connection 1-form $\mathcal{A}_r$ respectively. The covariant derivative is

$$\mathcal{D}_r = D_r + \{\mathcal{A}_r, \} \tag{5}$$

and the field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{rs} = D_r \mathcal{A}_s - D_s \mathcal{A}_r + \{\mathcal{A}_r, \mathcal{A}_s\} \tag{6}$$

The bracket $\{,\}$ is defined as

$$\{*, \diamond\} = \frac{2\epsilon^{sr}}{n}(D_r *)(D_s \diamond) \tag{7}$$

where n denotes the integer which characterizes the non trivial principle bundle under consideration. D_r is a tangent space derivative

$$D_r \diamond = \frac{\widehat{\Pi}_r^a \partial_a \diamond}{\sqrt{W}} = \{\widehat{\Pi}_r, \diamond\} \qquad\qquad r, s = 1, 2 : a = 1, 2. \tag{8}$$

where ∂_a denotes derivatives with respect to the local coordinates of the world volume while $\widehat{\Pi}_r^a = \epsilon^{au}\partial_u \Pi_r$ is a zwei-vein defined from the minimal solution of the Hamiltonian of the theory. It satisfies

$$\epsilon^{rs}\widehat{\Pi}_r^a \widehat{\Pi}_s^b \epsilon_{ab} = n\sqrt{W} \tag{9}$$

equivalently

$$\{\widehat{\Pi}_r, \widehat{\Pi}_s\} = 1/2 n \epsilon_{sr} \tag{10}$$

We consider now an expansion of the geometrical objects in the formulation in terms of an orthonormal basis in the space L^2 or functions over the world volume. They are uniform functions over the manifold. The X^M, P_M may be expressed in the standard way since they are uniform maps from the world volume Σ to the target space,

$$\begin{aligned} X^m(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \tau) &= \sum X^{mA}(\tau) Y_A(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \\ P_m(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \tau) &= \sum \sqrt{W} P_m^A(\tau) Y_A(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The multivalued maps defining the non-trivial winding of the membrane are now expressed in terms of the connection and its conjugate momenta. In this sector one has performed the following decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_s \to \widehat{\Pi}_s + \mathcal{A}_s \tag{12}$$

where $\widehat{\Pi}_s$ is the connection 1-form which minimizes the Hamiltonian of the theory. It is a connection on a nontrivial bundle characterized by the integer $n \neq 0$ the central charge of the supersymmetric algebra. It is taken as a fixed geometrical object, hence the transformation law of $\mathcal{A}_s$ under the gauge transformations generated by the first class constraint becomes

$$\delta \mathcal{A}_r = D_r \epsilon + \{\mathcal{A}_r, \epsilon\} \tag{13}$$

It is assumed that it has no transition over Σ. That is, all the complicated objects are contained in $\widehat{\Pi}_r$ while $\mathcal{A}_r$ is univalued over Σ. This is always valid provided we are in the same principle bundle which is characterized by the winding number n. Under this assumption, which defines a geometrical sector of (3), the last term of (3) becomes zero.

We may now decompose $\mathcal{A}_r$ and its canonical conjugate momenta under the same basis as before:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_r(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \tau) &= \sum \mathcal{A}_r^A(\tau) Y_A(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \\ \Pi^r(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \tau) &= \sum \sqrt{W} \Pi^{r,A}(\tau) Y_A(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

There is however a main difference between X^A and $\mathcal{A}_r^A$. It is their transformation law under the symmetry generated by the first class constraint. To analyze this point we introduce as in [8] the structure constants g_{AB}^C

$$\{Y_A, Y_B\} = \frac{2\epsilon^{sr}}{n} D_r Y_A D_s Y_B = g_{AB}^C Y_C \tag{15}$$

Where Y_A is a complete orthonormal basis of functions over the spatial part of the world volume,and g_{AB}^C are the structure constants associated to the group of area preserving diffeomorphisms in this basis. That is

$$g_{AB}^C = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{W} \{Y_A, Y_B\} Y_{-C} \tag{16}$$

Where we use the normalization condition

$$\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{W} Y_C Y_B = \delta_{B+C} \tag{17}$$

We then have the infinitesimal gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X^C =& \sum_{A,B} g^C_{AB}\epsilon^A X^B \\ \delta \mathcal{A}^C_r =& -\lambda^C_{rA}\epsilon^A - \sum_{A,B} g^C_{AB}\mathcal{A}^A_r\epsilon^B\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Where λ^C_{rA} is defined by

$$D_r Y_A = \lambda^C_{rA} Y_C \tag{19}$$

We consider Y_A to be a complete basis of eigenfunctions of the operator $D_r D_r$. Then we have

$$D_r D_r Y_A = \omega_A Y_A \tag{20}$$

Where no summation in the index A is performed. We assume without loosing generality that

$$\overline{Y_A} = Y_{-A} \tag{21}$$

Where $\overline{Y}_A$ denotes the complex conjugate to Y_A. We notice the following property of the derivatives D_r

$$D_s\widehat{\Pi}_r = \frac{\widehat{\Pi}^a_s}{\sqrt{W}}\partial_a\widehat{\Pi}_r = \frac{\epsilon^{ab}}{\sqrt{W}}\partial_b\widehat{\Pi}_s\partial_a\widehat{\Pi}_r = \{\widehat{\Pi}_s, \widehat{\Pi}_r\} = \frac{n\epsilon_{rs}}{2} \tag{22}$$

$\widehat{\Pi}_r$ may be identified with the angles of the compactified directions of the target space.

We may introduce $\widehat{\Pi}_r$ as local coordinates over Σ.We will assume from now on, Σ to be of genus 1, although everything can be extended to arbitrary genus. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}Y_A =& \mathrm{e}^{iA_r\widehat{\Pi}_r} \\ \omega_A =& -\frac{n^2}{4}A_r^2 = -\frac{n^2}{4}(A_1^2 + A_2^2) \\ \lambda^C_{rA} =& iA_s\frac{n}{2}\epsilon_{sr}\delta^C_A \equiv \lambda_{rA}\delta^C_A\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Where $\mathcal{A}_r, r = 1, 2$ is a pair of integral numbers associated to Y_A. The structure functions may then be expressed as

$$g^C_{AB} = \frac{n}{2}(A \times B)\delta^C_{A+B} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\lambda^C_{rA} = -\, i\frac{n}{2}(V_r \times A)\delta^C_A \tag{25}$$

$$V_r = \begin{cases} (1,0) & r = 1 \\ (0,1) & r = 2 \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

then

$$\lambda^C_{rA} = -\, i g^C_{V_r,(A-V_r)} \tag{27}$$

and also satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{n}\epsilon^{sr}\lambda_{rA}\lambda_{sB}d^C_{AB} = \quad & g^C_{AB} \qquad \text{with} \\ & d^C_{AB} = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{W} Y_A Y_B \overline{Y}_C \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

With d^C_{AB} related to the invariant symmetric three index tensor of SU(N).

The Hamiltonian may then be expressed as in [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} H =& H_{bosonic} + H_{Fermionic} \\ H_{Bosonic} =& \frac{1}{2}(P^{0m}P^0_m + P^{Am}P^{-A}_m) + \frac{1}{4}(g^C_{AB}X^{mA}X^{nB})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{rA}X^{mA} + g^A_{BC}\mathcal{A}^B_r X^{mC})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\Pi^{rA}\Pi^{-A}_r + \Pi^{r0}\Pi^{-0}_r) + \frac{1}{4}[(\lambda_r\mathcal{A}_s - \lambda s\mathcal{A}_r)^A + (g^A_{BC}\mathcal{A}^B_r\mathcal{A}^C_s)]^2 + \frac{1}{8}n^2 \\ & + \Lambda^{(-A)}(g^A_{BC}(X^{mB}P^C_m + \mathcal{A}^B_r\Pi^{rC}) + \lambda_{rA}\Pi^{rA}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{Fermionic} =& -\, g^A_{BC}\overline{\Psi}^{(-A)}\gamma_-\gamma_m X^{mB}\Psi^C + g^C_{AB}\mathcal{A}^A_r\overline{\psi}^{(-C)}\gamma_-\gamma_r\Psi^B \\ & + \lambda_{rB}\overline{\Psi}^{(-B)}\gamma_-\gamma_r\Psi^B - g^A_{BC}\Lambda^{(-A)}\overline{\Psi}^B\gamma_-\Psi^C. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Where $(,)^2$ is understood as:

$$(\star,\diamond)^2 = (\star,\diamond)\overline{(\star,\diamond)} = (\star,\diamond)^A(\star,\diamond)^{-A} \tag{30}$$

Using the following definitions, $H_{bosonic}$ can be directly re-expressed in a simpler way, which may be useful to compare with (3)

$$\begin{array}{rl} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_r} = & \lambda_r + [\mathcal{A}_r,] \\ \mathcal{F}^A_{rs} = & \lambda_{Ar}\mathcal{A}^A_s - \lambda_{sA}\mathcal{A}^A_r + [\mathcal{A}_r, \mathcal{A}_s]^A \qquad \text{with} \\ \lambda_r(Y_A) \equiv & \lambda_{rA}Y_A \qquad \text{no summation over index A.} \\ [\star,\diamond]^A \equiv & g^A_{BC}\,\star^B_r\,\diamond^C_s \end{array} \tag{31}$$

Then the bosonic part of the Hamiltonian appears as,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{Bosonic} =& \frac{1}{2}P^{0m}P^0_m + \frac{1}{2}(P^{Am}P^{-A}_m) + \frac{1}{4}[X^m, X^n]^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r X^{mA})^2 + \\ & \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{r0}\Pi^{-0}_r + \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{rA}\Pi^{-A}_r + \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{F}^A_{rs})^2 \\ & + \Lambda^A([X^m, P_m] + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r\Pi_r)^{-A} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Where summation over A index is performed.

3 The Heisenberg-Weyl Group and the $N \to \infty$ limit

We follow in the first part of this section standard results concerning the Heisenberg-Weyl group [14]. We do so since in the literature there are some minor misprints that we would like to avoid.

The relevant Hilbert space $H(\Gamma)$ of functions on a torus $\Gamma = \mathcal{C}/L$ of complex modulus $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$ with integer lattice $L = \{m_1 + \tau m_2 \mid (m_1, m_2) \in Z \times Z\}$ is defined as the space of functions of complex argument $z = \sigma_1 + i\sigma_2$

$$f(z) = \sum_{n\in Z} C_n \mathrm{e}^{i\pi(n^2)+2\pi(inz)} \tag{33}$$

With the norm

$$\| f \|^2 = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \quad \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi(y^2)/\tau_2} \mid f(z) \mid^2 \tag{34}$$

The subspace $H_N(\Gamma)$ of $H(\Gamma)$ is defined by the periodicity condition

$$C_n = C_{n+N} \tag{35}$$

for a fixed natural number N. In th subspace $H_N(\Gamma)$ the discrete Heisenberg group with generators P and Q,

$$\begin{aligned} Qf(z) &= \sum_{n\in Z} C_n \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n/N} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n z + \pi(in^2\tau)} \\ Pf(z) &= \sum_{n\in Z} C_{n-1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n + \pi(in^2 z)} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

They satisfy the Weyl relation, [19]:

$$QP = \kappa PQ \qquad where \qquad \kappa = \exp(2\pi i/N) \tag{37}$$

The Heisenberg group elements are defined by

$$T_{r,s} = N\kappa^{1/2rs} P^r Q^s \tag{38}$$

They are SU(N) matrices which satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r,s}^{\dagger} =& T_{-r,-s} \\ (T_{r,s})^N =& N^N \mathrm{e}^{i\pi rs(N-1)!} \mathrm{I_{N\times N}} \\ trT_{r,s} =& 0 \\ T_{r,s}T_{r',s'} =& N\kappa^{1/2(r's-rs')} T_{r+r',s+s'} \\ T_{r+N,s} =& \mathrm{e}^{i\pi s} T_{r,s} \\ T_{r,s+N} =& \mathrm{e}^{i\pi r} T_{r,s} \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The SU(N) algebra may be realized in terms of the base T_A with $A = (a_1, a_2) = (r, s)$ with $a_1, a_2 = 0...N$ with $(0, 0)$ excluded. We include $T_0 = NI_{N\times N}$ to have a complete set of matrices which close under multiplication, [8]

$$[T_A, T_B] = -2iN \sin\left(\frac{(A\times B)\pi}{N}\right) T_{A+B} \tag{40}$$

where $[,]$ is simply the commutator, (do not confuse with $[,]$ symbol used in the last section) the structure constants are then,

$$f^C_{AB} \equiv \frac{1}{N^3} tr([T_A, T_B], T_{-C}) = -2iN \sin\left(\frac{(A \times B)\pi}{N}\right) \delta^C_{A+B} \qquad (41)$$

When $N \to \infty$ one obtains the Poisson algebra of area preserving diffeomorphisms

$$f_{ABC} \to g_{ABC} \equiv \frac{n}{2}(A \times B)\delta_{A+B-C} \qquad (42)$$

We introduce $\widetilde{\lambda^B_{rA}}$ as a particular choice of the structure constants associated to the finite group:

$$\widetilde{\lambda^B_{rA}} = -if^B_{V_r(A-V_r)} \qquad (43)$$

with

$$\widetilde{\lambda^B_{rA}} = \widetilde{\lambda}_{rA}\delta^B_A \qquad (44)$$

$\widetilde{\lambda}$ converges to

$$\frac{ni}{4\pi}\widetilde{\lambda_{rA}} \to \lambda_{rA} = \{\widehat{\Pi}_r, Y_A\} = iA_s\frac{n}{2}\epsilon_{rs} \qquad (45)$$

4 The SU(N) formulation of the Theory

We may now introduce a SU(N) canonical lagrangian which in the limit $N \to \infty$ converges to the formulation of section 2 describing the dual of the double compactified D=11 supermembrane. The coordinates X^m as well as the connection $\mathcal{A}_r$ and their canonical conjugate momenta are valued over the SU(N) algebra. The lagrangian contains unusual terms which indeed are necessary if $\mathcal{A}_r$ is going to converge to a connection in the $N \to \infty$ limit. In fact, a connection of a principle bundle allows to translate the geometrical objects in the horizontal direction, however in the SU(N) model all the dependence on the world volume coordinates has been removed. We then expect some unusual terms which in the $N \to \infty$ limit allow that property of the connection 1-form to be recovered.

We are going to consider the Hamiltonian (29) in a particular gauge. Since the first class constraint has been expressed as a generalized Gauss law, these

are several interesting conditions we may impose. We will consider the gauge condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1 =&\mathcal{A}^{a_1,0}Y_{a_1,0}\\ \mathcal{A}_2 =&\mathcal{A}^{a_1,a_2}Y_{a_1,a_2}\quad a_2\neq 0\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

The SU(N) model we introduce is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}H =&tr(\frac{1}{2N^3}(P^{0m}T_0P^0_mT_0+\Pi^{r0}T_0\Pi^{-0}_rT_0+(P^m)^2+(\Pi)^2_r)\\ &+\frac{n^2}{16\pi^2N^3}[X^{Bm},X^{Cn}]^2+\frac{n^2}{8\pi^2N^3}(\frac{i}{N}[T_{V_r},X^m]T_{-V_r}-[\mathcal{A}_r,X^m])^2\\ &+\frac{n^2}{16\pi^2N^3}([\mathcal{A}_r,\mathcal{A}_s]+\frac{i}{N}([T_{V_s},\mathcal{A}_r]T_{-V_s}-[T_{V_r},\mathcal{A}_s]T_{-V_r}))^2+\frac{1}{8}n^2\\ &+\frac{n}{4\pi N^3}\Lambda([X^m,P_m]-\frac{i}{N}[T_{V_r},\Pi_r]T_{-V_r}+[\mathcal{A}_r,\Pi_r])\\ &+\frac{in}{4\pi N^3}(\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-\gamma_m[X^m,\Psi]-\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-\gamma_r[\mathcal{A}_r,\Psi]+\Lambda[\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-,\Psi]-\frac{i}{N}\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-\gamma_r[T_{V_r},\Psi]T_{-V_r}))\end{aligned}\tag{47}$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1 =&\mathcal{A}_1^{(a_1,0)}T_{(a_1,0)},\\ \mathcal{A}_2 =&\mathcal{A}_2^{(a_1,a_2)}T_{(a_1,a_2)}\quad\text{with}\quad a_2\neq 0\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

Where we used the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}X^m =&X^{mA}T_A\qquad P^m=P^{mA}T_A\\ \mathcal{A}_r =&\mathcal{A}^{A_r}T_A\qquad \Pi^r=\Pi^{rA}T_A\\ [T_B,T_C] =&f^A_{BC}T_A\end{aligned}\tag{49}$$

It may be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned}H =&tr(\frac{1}{2N^3}(P^{0m}P^0_m+(P^m)^2)+\frac{1}{2N^3}(\Pi^0_r\Pi^0_r+(\Pi^r)^2)\\ &\frac{n^2}{16\pi^2N^3}([X^m,X^n]^2+2(\widehat{\mathcal{D}_r}X^m)^2+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^A_{rs})^2)\ +\frac{1}{8}n^2\\ &\frac{n}{4\pi N^3}\Lambda([X^m,P_m]+\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_r\Pi_r)\\ &+\frac{in}{4\pi N^3}\Lambda([\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-,\Psi]+\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-\gamma_m[X^m,\Psi]+\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_r\overline{\Psi}\gamma_-\gamma_r\Psi))\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

where the identification of the terms in (50) are obvious from (47).

Each term of the above Hamiltonian density converges to the corresponding one in the formulation of the supermembrane of section 2. The condition (48) in the SU(N) model also converges to the gauge fixing condition (46) of the supermembrane.

5 On the Spectrum of the Hamiltonian

There are two properties of the Hamiltonian of the D=11 supermembrane on a Minkowski target space [5] which render the spectrum continuous:

i) the existence of local string-like configurations which may even change the topology of the membrane without changing its energy. This is a property of the bosonic sector of the supermembrane.

ii) Supersymetry. The Supersymmetry cancels an effective potential coming from the quantization of the model. It is related to the zero point energy of the harmonic oscillators which is different from zero in the bosonic case and zero in the supersymmetric one.

We will show in this section that there are no local string-like configurations with zero energy density associated to the Hamiltonian of our SU(N) model (47). It is important to come back to the global condition which was imposed in order to obtain the Hamiltonian (see the comment after (13)) of the model under consideration. It was

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{W} n^* \mathcal{F} = 0 \tag{51}$$

The annihilation of that term (51) which is perfectly valid when we formulate our model over a fixed non trivial line bundle, has important consequences with respect to the non-existence of the local string-like configurations with zero energy density. To analyze this point let us see first what occurs for the compactified membrane without that assumption.Without the assumption (51), there are local string-like configurations arising from following configurations:

$$\begin{aligned} X^m =& X^m(X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \\ \mathcal{A}_r =& -\widehat{\Pi}_r + f_r(X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

These configurations depend on an arbitrary uniform map $X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. After some calculations one can show that the hamiltonian density of (3) over those configurations becomes zero. Hence the compactified supermembrane allow local string like spikes with zero energy. Let us now discuss the sector of the theory arising from the imposition of the global condition (51). In the SU(N) model of section (4), the singular configurations (52) do not arise because $\mathcal{A}_r$ is single valued in distinction to $\widehat{\Pi}_r$ which is necessarily multivalued over Σ. More precisely, in order to have zero local energy density, the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_r X =& 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_{rs} =& 0 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

must be satisfied. They impose severe restrictions to X^A and $\mathcal{A}_r^A$ which eliminates the possibility of having the string-like configurations. In fact, the condition $\mathcal{F}_{rs} = 0$ yields

$$k^{1/2(V_r \times A)} \widetilde{\lambda}_{rA} \mathcal{A}_s^A - k^{1/2(V_s \times A)} \widetilde{\lambda}_{sA} \mathcal{A}_r^A + f_{BC}^A \mathcal{A}_r^B \mathcal{A}_s^C = 0 \tag{54}$$

and using the gauge fixing condition (48) we obtain, for any A

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1/2(V_1 \times A)} N \sin\left(\frac{(V_1 \times A)\pi}{N}\right) \mathcal{A}_2^A - k^{1/2(V_2 \times A)} N \sin\left(\frac{(V_2 \times A)\pi}{N}\right) \mathcal{A}_1^A + \\ N \sin\left(\frac{(b_1 V_1 \times A)\pi}{N}\right) \mathcal{A}_1^{b_1,0} \mathcal{A}_2^{A - b_1 V_1} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where b_1 are integers.

In particular for $A = lV_1$ we get

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{lV_1} \equiv \mathcal{A}_1^{l,0} = 0 \tag{56}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{A}_1^A = 0 \tag{57}$$

We then obtain from (55) and the gauge fixing condition

$$\mathcal{A}_2^A = 0 \tag{58}$$

The condition $\mathcal{D}_r X^m = 0$ now reduces to

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{rA} X^{mA} = 0 \qquad r = 1, 2 \tag{59}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} N \sin\left(\frac{V_1 \times A}{N}\right) \pi X^{mA} = 0 \\ N \sin\left(\frac{V_2 \times A}{N}\right) \pi X^{mA} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

which yields

$$X^{mA} = 0 \tag{61}$$

Consecuently, there are no local string like configurations with zero energy density for the SU(N) model of section 4.

6 Conclusions.

We proposed a model described by SU(N) algebra valued geometrical objects which in the limit converges to the dual of the double compactified D=11 supermembrane with nontrivial winding. It describes a supermembrane with fixed winding $n \neq 0$ on a target space $M_9 \times S^1 \times S^1$. We showed explicitly the existence of local string-like spikes in the general formulation of compactified supermembranes, in agreement with [7]. We then proved that in the proposed SU(N) model for supermembranes with fixed winding, which is only one sector of the full theory, there are no string like spikes and hence this sector should have discrete spectrum. We will analyzed in more detail the properties of the spectrum elsewhere. It is important to remark that this sector is described by a global condition which eliminates completely the local string like spikes.

Acknowledgments One of the authors[a] would like to thank to N. Hatcher and J. Ovalle for helpful conversations. We would also like to thank Kings College group for kind hospitality while part of this work was done.

References

[1] I. Martín,J. Ovalle and A. Restuccia, "Compactified D=11 Supermembranes and Symplectic Noncommutative Gauge Theories".hep-th/0101236

[2] I. Martín,J. Ovalle and A. Restuccia, Proceedings of SSQFT(2000) Kharkov, hep-th/0005095. To be published in Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.

[3] J.Goldstone unpublished;J.Hoppe, MIT Ph.D.thesis (1982); J.Hoppe, in proc. Int. Workshop on Constraint's Theory and Relativistic Dynamics; eds.G.Longhi and L.Lusanna (World Scientific,1987).

[4] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys* **B305** (1988),545.

[5] B. de Wit, M. Lüsher and H. Nicolai, *Nucl.Phys.***B320**(1989), 135.

[6] Herman Nicolai, Robert Helling"Supermebranes and (Matrix) Theory". *AEI-093* hep-th/9809103.

[7] B. de Wit, K. Peeters and J. C. Plefka,*Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **62** (1998),405.

[8] B. de Wit, U. Marquard and H. Nicolai, *Commun. Math.Phys* **128** (1990),39-62.

[9] Washington Taylor IV,*Phys. Lett.* **B394** (1997),283, hep-th/9611042.

[10] T. Banks, W, Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997),5112, hep-th/9610043.

[11] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitawaza and A. Tsuchiya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B498** (1997),467. hep-th/9612115.

[12] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A. Schwarz,"Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification On Tori", *JHEP* **02** (1998),003. hep-th/9711162.

[13] Washinton Taylor IV,"The (Matrix) Model of M-Theory" hep-th/0002016

[14] E.G. Floratos and G.K. Leontaris, "The Matrix model and the noncommutative geometry of the supermembrane"hep-th/9908106v2.

[15] Hroyuki Takata,"Maxwell Theory from Matrix Model" hep-th/0010081 v2.

[16] I. Martín, A. Restuccia and R. Torrealba, *Nucl. Phys.* **B521** (1998), 117.

[17] I. Martín,J. Ovalle and A. Restuccia, *Phys. Lett* **B472** (2000),77.

[18] M.P. García del Moral and A. Restuccia, " Formulación matricial del dual de la supermembrana doblemente compactificada en D=11" to be published in the Proceedings of the II Venezuelan Congress in Physics (2000)

[19] Gerard't Hooft, *Commun. Math. Phys* **81** (1981),267-275.